AAFP Poster Presentations Program

The Chicago Marriott Hotel Downtown Friday of the meeting at 5:15 – 7:00 p.m. Chicago Ballroom, 5th Floor

Guide for Poster Presenters

 Judges select 2 poster presentations: the best *clinical poster presentation* and the *best research presentation.*

 All of the winners will be announced and recognized on Saturday of the meeting at 10:15 AM at the general session.

Judging Steps

- Judging before the AAFP Annual Session. The result of this assessment weighs 1/5th of the total score
- On-site Judging. The result of this assessment weighs 4/5th of the total score

Judging before the AAFP Annual Session

Judging before the AAFP Annual Session

- Posters are randomly assigned to the judges (blinded)
- Judges score the assigned abstracts from 1 to 5

<u>1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 =</u> <u>Strongly Agree</u>

- 1. The information listed in the checklist is provided
- 2. Clinical scenario is unique and it adds to our knowledge in the topic area.
- 3. Research study design is unique. The abstract is structured with purpose, materials and methods, results and conclusions.

On-site Judging

On-site Judging

 Judges with no conflict of insterest are assigned to the specific number posters.

 Presenters are given 5-10 minutes for presentation, followed by 5-10 minutes of questions from judges.

• Presenters interact with the other members for the rest of the event.

On-site Judging Criteria

- 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
 - 1. Quality of the clinical scenario/ study design is great!
 - 2. The student's knowledge, enthusiasm, and ability to present and answer questions in the topic area are high.
 - 3. The student's role in the research design and method/ clinical scenario is appropriate.
 - 4. The presentation adds to our knowledge in the topic area and is based on a sound scientific and/or clinical scenario.

Finalists

- Finalists will be selected based on the highest total score in two categories: *clinical poster presentation* and *research presentation*
- Below, please find an example of excel formula determining the final score:

Poster Category	Poster Number	Pre-AAFP Judging Abstract Score	On-site judging					
			1. Quality of Materials	2. Quality of Presentation	3. Student Role	4. Presentation adds to our knowledge	Final Sc	icore
EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE	3	j. (4 4	4	2 2	4	17
Clinical	4							
Clinical	5							0
Research	6							0
Clinical	7							0
Clinical	8							0
Clinical	9							0
Clinical	10							0
Research	11							0
Clinical	13							0

Thank you for presenting at The American Academy of Fixed Prosthodontics, Poster Session